Blend Shapes (Morph Targets)

Subject	Mathematics
Domain	Linear Algebra
Topics	Blend Shapes, Linear Interpolation, Vertex Transformations, Weighting
Level, Year	Upper secondary, Year 11
Department	Animation

This lesson focuses on how **blend shapes** (morph targets) are used in 3D animation to create realistic facial expressions. Students learn to:

- Understand how linear algebra underpins the blending of different facial targets.
- Use weight-based interpolation to combine multiple shapes into a single resulting mesh.
- Recognize practical applications of this interpolation technique in real studio pipelines for facial animation.

Introduction

Animators create a variety of facial expressions—smiles, frowns, or raised eyebrows—by simply sliding a few controls in 3D software. Instead of painstakingly sculpting each expression from scratch, they rely on **blend shapes**: a series of pre-modelled variations of the face. By mixing these variations at different percentages, animators can produce a limitless array of expressions. This process is so smooth and efficient that you've likely seen it countless times on the big screen, from subtle performances in animated features to highly detailed creature effects in blockbuster films.

Co-funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or OeAD-GmbH. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Industry Application

Facial Rigging for Expressive Characters
In the production of 3D animated films, rigging artists set up a character's face so animators can use **blend shape** controls to shift seamlessly between expressions. Each **morph target** might represent a specific expression such as a smile, frown, or phoneme shape for lip-sync. By adjusting the **blend weights** for these targets, the final facial mesh is formed in real time, enabling subtle or exaggerated expressions as required by the story.

Efficient Animation Workflow
Studios favour **blend shapes** because they allow for rapid iteration. Small tweaks to weights can be made without altering the underlying geometry, speeding up production. This approach also facilitates non-destructive editing; an animator can revert to the **base shape** or modify only certain components (like eyebrows or lips) without affecting the rest of the face.

High-Fidelity Performance Capture
In high-end productions, performers' facial movements are captured using markers or cameras, and the data is processed into a set of **morph target** animations. Linear algebra calculations drive the final output, turning captured frames into weight values that produce highly realistic facial movements. This pipeline ensures that any subtle changes in the actor's performance can be accurately reproduced on the digital character.

Theoretical Background

Linear Algebra Foundations

Each **blend shape** can be viewed as a vector of vertex positions. Suppose there are n vertices in the mesh, and each vertex has three coordinates (x, y, z). We can treat the entire set of vertices for each shape as a vector in R^{3n}. If the base mesh is B and each target shape is S_i, then the final mesh M can be computed as a **linear combination** of these vectors:

$$M = B + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \ (S_i - B),$$

where α_i are the **blend weights** (often between 0 and 1).

Weight Constraints and Interpolation

- Typically, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, ensuring shapes move in a consistent direction from the base.
- In many workflows, $\sum \alpha_i \leq 1$, so the deformation remains proportionally within bounds of the target shapes.
- By adjusting α_i, animators interpolate smoothly between the base shape and one or multiple targets.

Practical Computation

Because each vertex in the final mesh is derived using the same linear combination of base and target vertices, the computation scales efficiently. Once weights are determined, calculating the new vertex positions is straightforward matrix or vector addition and scalar multiplication—core operations in **linear algebra**.

Connection to Curriculum

These vector operations and linear interpolations directly align with the study of matrices and vectors in upper secondary mathematics. Students can see how the abstract concept of linear combination finds a compelling real-world application in crafting believable facial expressions for animated characters.

Activities

#	Activity	Description	Type	Scope
1	**Vertex Blend Calculation**	Students calculate vertex positions by hand using linear combination of a base shape and a target.	Calculation / Worksheet	1 lesson
2	**Analytical Vertex Blending**	Students derive vertex positions via manual calculations using weighted interpolation for multiple facial expressions.	Calculation / Worksheet	1-2 lessons
3	**Multi-Target Deformation Limits**	Students explore how combining multiple targets affects vertex positions under different weight constraints.	Guided Analysis / Discussion	1 lesson
4	**Orthogonal Components in Face Deformation**	Students break down blend shapes into orthogonal components to see how independent controls shape facial expressions.	Advanced Group Exploration	2 lessons
5	**Overlapping Influences of Blend Shapes**	Students investigate how multiple blend shapes overlap and identify linear independence vs. redundancy.	Hands-On Calculation / Chart	1-2 lessons
6	**Constraint-Based Minimization for Realistic Expressions**	Students learn basic optimization techniques to keep expressions realistic while mixing extreme target shapes.	Optimization / Discussion	2 lessons

1 Vertex Blend Calculation

Type	Calculation/Worksheet
Scope	1 lesson

Introduction
In this activity, you will explore how a 3D character's facial mesh is adjusted from a base shape to a target expression by calculating new vertex positions with a single blend weight. You will work with simplified vertex coordinates to see how small changes in the weight can result in different facial expressions.

Teacher instructions

1. Provide students with a small set of vertex coordinates for a base mesh (e.g., 3–5 vertices) and for one target shape (like a simple eyebrow raise).
2. Have them apply the linear combination formula for each vertex, using a blend weight α.

$$M = B + \alpha(S - B)$$

3. where B is the base shape vector, S is the target shape vector, and α is a scalar between 0 and 1.
4. Guide students to calculate the new vertex positions for different α values (e.g., 0.0, 0.5, 1.0) and compare the results.
5. Discuss how changing α modifies the facial expression.

Solution
For each vertex:

$$x_{\text{final}} = x_B + \alpha(x_S - x_B)$$

$$y_{\text{final}} = y_B + \alpha(y_S - y_B)$$

$$z_{\text{final}} = z_B + \alpha(z_S - z_B)$$

If, for instance, $\alpha = 0.5$, each coordinate moves halfway from the base to the target. Students' final calculations will show how intermediate expressions are formed.

Didactical Information
Emphasize the concept of linear interpolation and how each vertex's position is influenced by the blend weight. This builds intuition for more complex setups where multiple targets and weights are involved. Encourage students to visualize the differences by plotting or sketching the changes in vertex positions.

Learning outcomes

- Understand the formula for blending a single target shape with a base.
- Calculate interpolated vertex positions by hand.
- Relate linear interpolation to practical animation scenarios.

2 Analytical Vertex Blending

Type	Calculation/Worksheet
Scope	1-2 lessons

Introduction

This activity delves deeper into the mathematics behind blending multiple facial expressions at once. Students will manually calculate vertex positions using weights for more than one target shape, learning how small variations in different blend shape weights produce nuanced facial changes.

Teacher instructions

1. Provide a base mesh with at least two distinct target expressions, e.g., a "smile" shape (S_1) and a "frown" shape (S_2). Supply a subset of vertex coordinates for simplicity.
2. Instruct students to calculate the final vertex positions using:

$$M = B + \alpha_1(S_1 - B) + \alpha_2(S_2 - B),$$

3. ensuring each α_i ranges from 0 to 1.
4. Have students experiment with different pairs of (α_1, α_2) to see how mixing these targets modifies the face.
5. Compare the results and discuss the linear nature of these transformations.

Solution

For each vertex and each α_i:

$$(x_{\text{final}}, y_{\text{final}}, z_{\text{final}}) = (x_B, y_B, z_B) + \alpha_1\left[\left(x_{S_1}, y_{S_1}, z_{S_1}\right) - (x_B, y_B, z_B)\right] + \alpha_2\left[\left(x_{S_2}, y_{S_2}, z_{S_2}\right) - (x_B, y_B, z_B)\right].$$

By plugging in various α values (e.g., 0.3 for α_1, 0.7 for α_2), students see how final mesh coordinates are linearly influenced.

Didactical Information

Highlight the role of linear algebra when multiple target shapes are involved. Show that each weight acts independently, and the sum of these influences results in a combined facial expression. This helps students connect abstract vector operations with tangible animation outcomes.

Learning outcomes

- Perform multi-target vertex calculations by hand.
- Understand superposition of different facial blend shapes.
- Recognize linear algebra's role in managing multiple expressions simultaneously.

3 Multi-Target Deformation Limits

Type	Guided Analysis / Discussion
Scope	1 lesson

Introduction

This activity focuses on how weight limits and constraints (like $\alpha_i \geq 0, \sum \alpha_i \leq 1$) control the final blended facial shape. Students will explore how pushing weights beyond typical ranges can lead to unrealistic or extreme deformations.

Teacher instructions

1. Present students with a scenario where there are three target shapes (e.g., “smile,” “mouth open,” and “eyebrows raised”) with designated weight constraints:

$$0 \leq \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \leq 1, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \leq 1.$$

2. Ask them to propose multiple combinations of these weights (e.g., $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$) and reason whether each combination produces a plausible expression.
3. Encourage them to analyse what happens if the sum of weights exceeds 1, or if negative weights are introduced (even just theoretically).
4. Lead a discussion on the implications for real-world animation, where constraints keep shapes from breaking the character mesh.

Results

Students identify which weight combinations produce realistic expressions and which yield distorted or physically implausible results. They gain insight into why studios impose constraints ($\alpha_i \geq 0, \sum \alpha_i \leq 1$) for maintaining model integrity.

Didactical Information

Stress the importance of bounding blend weights to ensure the final mesh remains within acceptable deformation limits. Discuss how professional animation pipelines embed these constraints in rig controls to prevent geometry from folding or tearing.

Learning outcomes

- Recognize practical weight constraints in multi-target blending.
- Understand the relationship between sum-of-weights and final deformation quality.
- Appreciate the need to keep shape interpolation within realistic bounds in animation pipelines.

4 Orthogonal Components in Face Deformation

Type	Advanced Group Exploration
Scope	2 lessons

Introduction

Some blend shapes represent unique, non-overlapping movements (e.g., eyebrow raise vs. lip curl). Others might overlap significantly. In this activity, students learn how orthogonal (i.e., independent) components in blend shapes can simplify facial animation and reduce unexpected "cross-talk" between expressions.

Teacher instructions

1. Introduce the idea of orthogonal vectors in linear algebra: two vectors are orthogonal if their dot product is zero.
2. Provide a simplified set of facial deformation vectors (e.g., a partial dataset for "blink," "smile," and "jaw drop"), and have students compute their dot products.
3. Ask them to identify which pairs are approximately orthogonal (if any). Discuss the implications: e.g., if "blink" is orthogonal to "smile," then mixing them does not inadvertently affect the other expression.
4. Split the class into groups to brainstorm how to re-sculpt or adjust a pair of nearly overlapping shapes to make them more orthogonal, thus giving animators clearer, isolated controls.

Results

Groups come away with a conceptual understanding that orthogonal blend shapes avoid undesired interactions, making the rig more predictable. They see how minor adjustments can move shapes toward greater independence.

Didactical Information

Encourage students to see beyond pure geometry: it's also about controlling the rig in a production setting. Orthogonality in blend shapes is a direct application of the orthogonal vectors topic in linear algebra, illustrating how math fosters cleaner and more efficient animation processes.

Learning outcomes

- Relate orthogonality in vectors to independence of facial movements.
- Calculate dot products of deformation vectors and interpret the result.
- Understand the significance of orthogonal blend shapes in a professional rigging context.

5 Overlapping Influences of Blend Shapes

Type	Hands-On Calculation / Chart
Scope	1-2 lessons

Introduction

When multiple blend shapes are used, some might be partially redundant or overlap in their influence on the same facial features. This exercise teaches students how to detect and quantify overlap by examining where changes in different shapes affect the same vertices in similar ways.

Teacher instructions

1. Assign a base mesh and two or three target shapes that partially influence the same facial region (e.g., both “smile” and “cheek puff” move the cheeks).
2. Have students create a simple chart or matrix that lists each vertex row-wise and each target shape column-wise, then fill in “extent of movement” (Δx, Δy, Δz).
3. Instruct them to look for vertices that receive similar transformations from multiple shapes, indicating overlap or redundancy.
4. Ask them to calculate a hypothetical final shape for a given set of weights, and note if the combined effect on these overlapped vertices leads to excessive or undesired movement.

Results

Students identify which blend shapes significantly overlap and understand how that overlap might cause unexpected deformations. They also see the practical need for refining or re-sculpting shapes in a production environment to prevent double transformation on the same areas.

Didactical Information

Highlight that partial overlap is not inherently negative but must be managed carefully. In real studios, shape refinement or weighting adjustments help minimize double movement, thereby preserving control and predictability.

Learning outcomes

- Detect where blend shapes influence the same area of a face.
- Chart overlapping transformations to quantify their combined effect.
- Grasp the real-world rigging challenge of balancing multiple target shapes.

6 Constraint-Based Minimization for Realistic Expressions

Type	Optimization / Discussion
Scope	2 lessons

Introduction
Even if individual blend shapes are well-defined, mixing extreme expressions can yield unrealistic results (e.g., a big smile with a fully closed eye). In this activity, students learn a simplified approach to optimization: how to choose weights that balance competing shape influences while respecting physical realism.

Teacher instructions

1. Present students with a small set of "extreme" target shapes (e.g., wide smile, large mouth open, scrunched nose) along with a base shape.
2. Define an objective function that measures "realism" (for example, a numeric penalty if two contradictory shapes are used at high weights simultaneously).
3. Instruct students to pick weight values α_i that minimize this penalty function while meeting constraints (e.g., $\alpha_i \geq 0,\ \sum \alpha_i \leq 1$).
4. Have them discuss strategies (like distributing weight among multiple shapes vs. using one shape at a high setting) and evaluate the resulting facial mesh.

Results
Through guided attempts, students see how employing a small penalty function or a simple additive constraint steers them toward plausible combinations of shapes. They realize how real studios might implement advanced forms of optimization behind the scenes.

Didactical Information
Demonstrate that this is a foundational idea connecting linear algebra, optimization, and practical animation. Emphasize the trade-off between artistic freedom (exaggerated expressions) and anatomical plausibility. Encourage discussion about how more complex rigging systems integrate such algorithms automatically.

Learning outcomes

- Understand the concept of constraint-based optimization in facial rigging.
- Manipulate blend shape weights to achieve realistic outcomes.
- Realize how linear algebra and optimization principles work in tandem for facial animation.